



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/883,508	0	06/19/2001	Jeffrey A. Bedell	53470.003042	8696	
21967	7590	02/03/2006		EXAMINER		
HUNTON		AMS LLP OPERTY DEPARTM	ZHEN, LI B			
1900 K STR	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 1200)		2194			
WASHING	TON, DC	20006-1109	DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/883,	508	BEDELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit				
	Li B. Zhe		2194				
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on ti	he cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) file2a) This action is FINAL.	ed on <u>15 August 200</u> 2b)⊠ This action is						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from c						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by th 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any obje Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or bection to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum	een received. een received in Applicati nents have been receive	on No	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the cer	tified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)		SUPER	-HOMSON LENT EXAMI	NER			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	-152)			

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 18 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5, 10-12 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent NO. 5,854,932 to Mariani in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,167,563 to Fontana et al. [hereinafter referred to as Fontana, both references cited in the previous office action].
- 4. As to claim 1, Mariani teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a computer implemented method for managing groups of objects [source code files 60 and header files] for use in a reporting system project [a development environment 52 which includes various tools 54 for creating, editing, and compiling source code files 60 and header files 62 for a user's programming project; col. 7, line 60 col. 8, line 7] comprising the steps of:

receiving a command [modify] to perform a selected function on a selected object [With the editors 70, the user can directly modify or add C++ statements to the source code files 60 and header files 62; col. 8, lines 7 – 22];

Art Unit: 2194

identifying using a computer processor dependent objects referred to by the selected object [for each of the object code files 82...the minimal rebuild system 100 generates dependency information...consisting of a set of classes...on which the respective object code file depends, and a set of dependency types...of the object code file on each class in the set; col. 12, lines 27 - 67];

determining using a computer processor an appropriate manner of executing the selected function [minimal rebuild system 100 determines when recompiling can be avoided by determining how the object code files 82 are dependent on the header files 62; col. 9, lines 1 – 15];

determining using a computer processor appropriate functions to be performed on the dependent objects [minimal rebuild system 100 selectively recompiles the source code files 60...so as to detect changes to all header files 62...that were changed since the last build of the project. The minimal rebuild system 100 then utilizes the detected changes to the header files 62 to determine which of the remaining source code files 60 to recompile and which recompiles can be avoided; col. 17, lines 18 – 30];

causing the appropriate functions to be performed on the dependent objects [omit compiling selected source file and resave object file, step 174, Fig. 6B; compile selected source file into object file, step 176, Fig. 6B; col. 19, lines 33 – 67]; and

causing the execution of the selected function on the selected object in the appropriate manner [minimal rebuild system 100 first recompiles the source code files that were changed since the user's project was last built; col. 17, lines 30 – 43].

Art Unit: 2194

5. Although Mariani teaches the invention substantially as claimed, Mariani does not specifically teach automatically identifying dependent objects, automatically causing appropriate functions to be performed on the dependent objects and automatically causing execution of the selected function on the selected object.

However, Fontana teaches a wrapper tool to develop interfaces for a component or any third party developed software that helps in the component build process [col. 4, lines 10 - 30], automatically identifying dependent objects [dependencies are then analyzed to identify dependent components (block 65); col. 7, lines 22 - 34], automatically causing appropriate functions to be performed on the dependent objects [if the user does want to update dependent components (yes leg of the diamond 66), then the dependent components are retrieved from the source control program (block 69); col. 7, lines 35 - 43] and automatically causing execution of the selected function on the selected object [dependent components are accordingly updated (block 76); col. 7, lines 43 - 58].

6. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of automatically identifying dependent objects, automatically causing appropriate functions to be performed on the dependent objects and automatically causing execution of the selected function on the selected object as taught by Fontana to the invention of Mariani because this provides a method for building or modifying software components inside a computer system and updating all dependent components automatically in a manner transparent to the user and the computer system [col. 1, lines 33 – 37 of Fontana].

Art Unit: 2194

7. As to claim 10, this is a system claim that corresponds to method claim 1; note the rejection to claim 1 above, which also meet this system claim.

- 8. As to claim 18, this is a product claim that corresponds to method claim 1; note the rejection to claim 1 above, which also meet this product claim.
- 9. As to claim 3, Mariani teaches the step of causing the appropriate functions to be performed on the dependent objects is performed prior to the step of causing the execution of the selected function in the appropriate manner [minimal rebuild system 100 reorders this list to place any source code files 60 that have changed since the user's project was last rebuilt first in the list; col. 17, lines 43 65].
- 10. As to claim 4, Mariani teaches the objects are grouped in projects and the selected function relates to manipulating objects within and between projects [For use in creating and editing the source code files 60 and header files 62 of the user's project, the development tools 54 of the environment 52 comprise one or more editors 70, and automated source code generators 72; col. 8, lines 7 22] and wherein within each project each object has a unique identifier [search for a name in the scope of the class; col. 13, lines 25 50] and a version identifier [date and time of the last change made to the header files; col. 10, lines 8 45].

Art Unit: 2194

11. As to claim 5, Mariani teaches comparing dependent objects at a source and objects at a destination to determine whether an object at the destination exists in an identical form to each of the dependent objects at the source and whether an object at the destination exists in a modified form to each of the dependent objects at the source [minimal rebuild system 100 preferably determines which of the header files 62 were changed since the project was last built by comparing the date stamps of the header files to their last known date stamps; col. 18, lines 34 – 48] and determining, based on the step of comparing, which dependent object to copy from the source to the destination such that the selected object remains complete after execution of the selected function in the appropriate manner [the minimal rebuild system 100 selects the next source code file in the list that is not yet recompiled. At step 171, the minimal rebuild system 100 checks whether the object code file that was compiled in a previous build of the project from the selected source code file still exists; col. 19, lines 7 – 32].

- 12. As to claim 11, Mariani teaches the operational module interfaces [classes for interfacing with database management systems; col. 8, lines 23 43] with projects that reside in various environments [Class dependency information is similarly reduced for manually generated projects that use a class library; col. 12, lines 28 67].
- 13. As to claim 12, this is rejected for the same reasons as claim 3 above.

Art Unit: 2194

14. As to claims 16 and 17, these are rejected for the same reasons as claim 5 above.

- 15. Claims 2, 6 9 and 13 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mariani and Fontana further in view of U.S. Patent NO. 6,112,024 to Almond [cited in the previous office action].
- 16. As to claim 2, Mariani as modified does not teach the selected object is contained in metadata of an on-line analytical processing system.

However, Almond teaches an object cycle versioning system [col. 2, lines 39 – 52] and an object contained in metadata [maps an object into a meta model which facilitates version control; col. 3, lines 1 – 54 and col. 6, lines 40 – 67] of an on-line analytical processing program [View reports--quickly view project activity status; col. 39, lines 15 – 39].

17. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of storing an object in metadata of an on-line analytical processing system as taught by Almond to the invention of Mariani as modified because this map objects to representations and allow operations supported by the system for versioning will execute correctly even if the objects are stored in a format other than a relational database, such as an object-oriented database, a file server, or other storage system [col. 3, lines 3 – 10 of Almond].

Application/Control Number: 09/883,508

Art Unit: 2194

18. As to claim 6, Mariani as modified teaches the step of receiving is a step of receiving a command to copy a selected object from a source project to a destination project [Get--copy one or multiple objects to the user's local directory; col. 39, lines 16 – 39 of Almond].

Page 8

- 19. As to claim 7, Mariani as modified teaches the unique identifier [search for a name in the scope of the class; col. 13, lines 25 50 of Mariani] and version identifier [date and time of the last change made to the header files; col. 10, lines 8 45 of Mariani] of objects in the source project are similar to the unique identifier and version identifier of objects in the destination project [minimal rebuild system 100 preferably determines which of the header files 62 were changed since the project was last built by comparing the date stamps of the header files to their last known date stamps; col. 18, lines 34 48 of Mariani].
- 20. As to claim 8, Mariani as modified teaches comparing unique identifiers [search for a name in the scope of the class; col. 13, lines 25 50 of Mariani] and version identifiers [date and time of the last change made to the header files; col. 10, lines 8 45 of Mariani], whether the selected object exists in the destination project in an identical form and whether the selected object exists in the destination project in a modified form [minimal rebuild system 100 preferably determines which of the header files 62 were changed since the project was last built by comparing the date stamps of the header files to their last known date stamps; col. 18, lines 34 48 of Mariani]; and

selecting whether to copy the selected object from the source project to the destination project [Get--copy one or multiple objects to the user's local directory; col. 39, lines 16 – 39 of Almond], to replace an object in the destination project with the selected object [compile selected source file into object file, step 176, Fig. 6B; col. 19, lines 33 – 67 of Mariani], and to keep an object in the destination project as is [omit compiling selected source file and resave object file, step 174, Fig. 6B; col. 19, lines 33 – 67 of Mariani].

- 21. As to claim 9, Mariani as modified teaches the step of selecting includes prompting the user for a selection [user can directly modify or add C++ statements to the source code files 60 and header files 62; col. 8, lines 7 23 of Mariani].
- 22. As to claim 13, Mariani as modified teaches the operation module interfaces [RPC interface allows the system to surface an Object Cycle API...for development system clients; col. 2, lines 39 54 of Almond] with projects of an on-line analytical processing system [View reports--quickly view project activity status; col. 39, lines 15 39 of Almond].
- 23. As to claim 14, Mariani as modified teaches the operational module, upon receiving a user command to copy the selected object from a source project to a destination project [Get--copy one or multiple objects to the user's local directory; col. 39, lines 16 39 of Almond], determines, by comparing the unique identifiers [search for

Art Unit: 2194

a name in the scope of the class; col. 13, lines 25 – 50 of Mariani] and the version identifiers [date and time of the last change made to the header files; col. 10, lines 8 – 45 of Mariani], whether the selected object exists in the destination project in an identical form and whether the selected object exists in the destination project in a modified form [minimal rebuild system 100 preferably determines which of the header files 62 were changed since the project was last built by comparing the date stamps of the header files to their last known date stamps; col. 18, lines 34 – 48 of Mariani].

24. As to claim 15, Mariani as modified teaches the operational module communicates with the user interface to select whether to copy the selected object from the source project to the destination project [Get--copy one or multiple objects to the user's local directory; col. 39, lines 16 – 39 of Almond], to replace an object in the destination object with the selected object [compile selected source file into object file, step 176, Fig. 6B; col. 19, lines 33 – 67 of Mariani], and to keep an object in the destination project as is [omit compiling selected source file and resave object file, step 174, Fig. 6B; col. 19, lines 33 – 67 of Mariani].

Conclusion

- 25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,487,713 to Cohen et al. teaches a software development system that develops a product from core library of source code elements.

Art Unit: 2194

CONTACT INFORMATION

26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3768.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on 571-272-3718. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Li B. Zhen Examiner

Art Unit 2194

lbz

WILLIAM THOMSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

Requirement for Information

- 1. Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.
- 2. The information is required to enter in the record the art suggested by the applicant as relevant to this examination in the Request for Pre-appeal brief conference dated 8/11/2005. In the Request for Pre-appeal brief conference, applicant noted that the features of the present patent application were introduced in MicroStrategy 7.x [p. 2, lines 10 13]. Examiner respectfully requests a copy of MicroStrategy 7.x so that the reference can be properly considered.
- 3. The applicant is reminded that the reply to this requirement must be made with candor and good faith under 37 C.F.R. 1.56. Where the applicant does not have or cannot readily obtain an item of required information, a statement that the item is unknown or cannot be readily obtained will be accepted as a complete reply to the requirement for that item.
- 4. The fee and certification requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.97 are waived for those documents submitted in reply to this requirement. This waiver extends only to those

Art Unit: 2194

./

documents within the scope of this requirement under 37 C.F.R. 1.105 that are included in the applicant's first complete communication responding to this requirement. Any supplemental replies subsequent to the first communication responding to this requirement and any information disclosures beyond the scope of this requirement under 37 C.F.R. 1.105 are subject to the fee and certification requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.97.

5. This Office action has an attached requirement for information under 37 CFR 1.105. A complete reply to this Office action must include a complete reply to the attached requirement for information. The time period for reply to the attached requirement coincides with the time period for reply to this Office action.

CONTACT INFORMATION

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3768. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on 571-272-3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2194

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Li B. Zhen Examiner Art Unit 2194

lbz

WILLIAM THOMSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED